For the three questions about Attitude towards Technology (ATT), it can be concluded that the final average score is between 2-3. We can see from this that, in general, users do not particularly agree with our application. For ATT1 "In my opinion, it is desirable to use RescueMe In danger or during a disaster", the score is relatively high at 2.53 points. By browsing to each user's ratings, detailed analysis can be observed that in 15 users, only one gives the 4 points (strongly agree), eight users are given 3 points (agree), the rest of the users are all thinking that using RescueMe in danger or disaster is not desirable, in which two users think very undesirable (1 point). Although more than half of the users think it is acceptable, it also shows that there are problems in the overall functional design of our application, which is not reasonable and perfect. As a result, users will not use our product often or not. For ATT2 "I think it is good for me to use RescueMe if I am in danger", the score is 2.4. The breakdown scores showed that six users thought using RescueMe was good if they were in danger. Two of them strongly agree (4 points). The remaining nine users disagreed, and two of them gave a score of 1 (strongly disagreed). In response to this question, more than half of the users do not think it is bad to use our application when they are in danger. This score is not ideal, indicating that there is a problem with the focus of the function of our application, and the functions presented cannot meet the needs of users. For ATT3 "Overall, My Attitude Towards RescueMe is Superior", the score decreased to 2.27 points. According to the detailed ratings of the users, more than half (nine users) still gave negative reviews to The Application and expressed a negative attitude towards RescueMe. Three of them expressed a very negative attitude (1 point). The remaining 6 users have a positive attitude, and 1 user is very positive (4 points). This indicates that we have not grasped the pain points of users and have not understood the needs of users, so we need to further investigate and design the functions of our users and application.

As the score of this part is not ideal, we conducted a further simple inquiry for the users who scored, and some users who disagreed with it said that they were more inclined to directly call for help when they met a disaster. Using an application to call for help is a very cumbersome and time-consuming process. This suggests that further research and planning is needed to ensure that the positioning and functional design of the software meets the needs of users.

For the three questions concerning Intention to use (ITO), we can find that the average score is between 2.4 and 2.5. This shows that for the application itself, the user's intention is not obvious. For ITO1 "I will use RescueMe in the future if necessary", the average score was 2.4. According to the RescueMe score, eight users will not use RescueMe in the future, with three saying they will not use RescueMe (1 point). The remaining seven said they would use RescueMe if needed in the future. As can be seen from the detailed score, more than half of the users said they would not use it in the future. For ITO2, "I will strongly recommend other people in Disasters -prone areas to use RescueMe in the future", the average score was 2.47. Seven of them said they would not recommend it, and three of them said they would never recommend it (1 point). Of the remaining 8 users who said they would recommend, two of them indicated they would definitely recommend (4 points). More than half of users said they would recommend it to people in disaster-prone areas, but of those, only 25% said they would definitely recommend it, while about 42% of those who would not recommend it said they would never recommend it. It's not an ideal score overall. The current functions and design of RescueMe are not able to help people in disaster areas. For ITO3 "I will regard RescueMe as the first choice for people to use when they are in danger", the final average score was 2.47. Eight of those users said RescueMe was not their first choice in a disaster, and a half (4 users) said it was not. The remaining 7 users said the application could be their first choice, and a half (4 users) said it definitely was. The polarization of scores in this part is quite serious, indicating that RescueMe's current help to users in need is not comprehensive, and there may be difficulties in using or functions that are not easy to understand.

After the user finished this part of the rating, we also conducted a simple query to the user. Some disapproving users expressed that some pages and functions in the application are not easy to understand and feel easy to use. A real disaster can be a burden to call for help. For application, we may need to make further planning to ensure that its functions are simple and the layout of the page is simple, which can really help people in need.

In order to better understand the detailed ideas of users, we also choose the walkthrough method in addition to TAM Evaluation, to ensure a clearer and detailed understanding of users' ideas and feedback, so that we can analyze the feedback and iterate to improve our application. The purpose is to solve the current user feedback problems.